CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON
FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain information contained in this presentation, including any information as to our strategy, projects, plans or future financial or operating performance and other statements that express management's expectations or estimates of future performance, constitute "forward-looking statements". All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. The words "believe", "expect", "will", "anticipate", "contemplate", "target", "plan", "continue", "budget", "may", "intend", "estimate" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by management, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. The Company cautions the reader that such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual financial results, performance or achievements of Barrick to be materially different from the Company's estimated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements and the estimated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to: the impact of global liquidity and credit availability on the timing of cash flows and the values of assets and liabilities based on projected future cash flows; changes in the worldwide price of gold, copper or certain other commodities (such as silver, fuel and electricity); fluctuations in currency markets; the ability of the Company to complete or successfully integrate an announced acquisition proposal; legislative, political or economic developments in the jurisdictions in which the Company carries on business, including Zambia and Saudi Arabia; operating or technical difficulties in connection with mining or development activities; employee relations; availability and costs associated with mining inputs and labor; the speculative nature of exploration and development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licenses and permits and diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; changes in costs and estimates associated with our projects; adverse changes in our credit rating, level of indebtedness and liquidity, contests over title to properties, particularly title to undeveloped properties; the organization of our previously held African gold operations under a separate listed entity; the risks involved in the exploration, development and mining business. Certain of these factors are discussed in greater detail in the Company's most recent Form 40-F/Annual Information Form on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities.

The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.
Barrick is Well Positioned

- Scale and global reach
  - ~$50 billion market cap; 25,000 employees
- Geographic and operational diversity
  - 26 operating mines, 9 projects located on 5 continents
- High quality and growing resource base
- Operational, project and technical depth of expertise
- Substantial optionality in our asset base which supports mine extensions, expansions and greenfield investment opportunities
- Financial strength
  - “A” rated balance sheet

Global Footprint

2011E Production

Australia Pacific 25%
North America 44%
South America 24%
Africa 7%

2010 P&P Reserves

North America 41%
Africa 9%
South America 39%
Australia Pacific 11%

See final slide #3
World Class Operations and Projects

Total Global Gold Mines by Size

**Barrick Mines by Size**
(2010 gold production)

- **5 mines** >1 Moz
- **9 mines** >800 Koz
- **23 mines** >500 Koz
- **159 mines** >100 Koz
- **17 mines**

- **3 Projects** ~1 Moz
  - Pueblo Viejo
  - Cerro Casale
  - Donlin Gold

- **2 Projects** ~800 Koz
  - Turquoise Ridge
  - Pascua-Lama

(1) See final slide #4

Sources: Metals Economics Group and Barrick

---

Barrick’s Strategy

- Grow and improve the quality of production base by:
  - maximizing the potential of existing assets
  - developing high return projects
  - investing in exploration and selective acquisitions

- Production to continue to be dominated by gold with complementary copper production

- Continually improve CSR practices

- By executing on this strategy, we expect to:
  - grow earnings and cash flow per share
  - generate appropriate risk-adjusted returns on capital
  - enhance shareholders’ leverage to metal prices

- Expect to continue to pay a progressive dividend
Capital Allocation Strategy

A Balanced Approach

- Invest in high return projects
- Balance sheet management
- Track record of paying a progressive dividend

- Improve quality of portfolio with long life, low cost gold and gold-copper mines
- Maintain strong credit ratings, preserve access to low cost capital, repay debt
- Dividend increased by 25%\(^{(1)}\); 22% CAGR over 5 years

(1) See final slide #10

Exceptional Leverage to Gold

- Barrick’s adjusted net earnings and cash flow\(^{(1)}\) per share growth has significantly outpaced the rise in gold prices over the past 7 years

(1) See final slide #1. All EPS figures are adjusted except Dec '04 is US GAAP basis and all CFPS are on a US GAAP basis except Dec '09, Dec '10, and Sep '11 are adjusted. 9M 2011 adjusted EPS and CFPS return is annualized. Gold price as at Sept. 30, 2011.
2011 STRONG FINANCIAL RESULTS

Nine months ended September 30

Realized Gold Price\(^{(1)}\)
$US/oz

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 1,184 \\
9M 11 & \quad 1,550
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 31\%\)

Gold Margin\(^{(1)}\)
Total Cash Cost Basis
$US/oz

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 785 \\
9M 11 & \quad 1,105
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 41\%\)

Gold Margin\(^{(1)}\)
Net Cash Cost Basis
$US/oz

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 887 \\
9M 11 & \quad 1,225
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 38\%\)

Net Earnings
US$M

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 2,621 \\
9M 11 & \quad 3,525
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 34\%\)

Adjusted Net Earnings\(^{(1)}\)
US$M

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 2,499 \\
9M 11 & \quad 3,506
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 40\%\)

EBITDA\(^{(1)}\)
US$M

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 4,751 \\
9M 11 & \quad 6,378
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 34\%\)

Adjusted OCF\(^{(1)}\)
US$M

\[
\begin{align*}
9M 10 & \quad 3,719 \\
9M 11 & \quad 4,295
\end{align*}
\]

\(\uparrow 15\%\)

\(^{(1)}\) See final slide #1
### Margin Expansion

#### Total Cash Margins
US$/oz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Q1 10</th>
<th>Q2 10</th>
<th>Q3 10</th>
<th>Q4 10</th>
<th>Q1 11</th>
<th>Q2 11</th>
<th>Q3 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cash Costs</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Net Cash Margins
US$/oz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Q1 10</th>
<th>Q2 10</th>
<th>Q3 10</th>
<th>Q4 10</th>
<th>Q1 11</th>
<th>Q2 11</th>
<th>Q3 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Cash Costs</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) See final slide #1. Assumes a market copper price of $3.25/lb for Q4 2011, which will result in a realized price of ~$3.40, inc. impact of copper collars.

### Competitive Cash Costs

#### Global Industry Cash Cost Curve

- Senior Peer 2011E Weighted Average Cash Costs (2) ~$655
- Barrick 2011E Total Cash Costs $460-$475 (3)
- Barrick 2011E Net Cash Costs $330-$350 (3,4)

(1) Source: GFMS (Q2 2011 data); co-product basis (2) See final slide #11 (3) See final slide #1 (4) See final slide #12
**EPS & EBITDA per share Growth**

### Adj. Net Earnings (1)
- **US$ per share**
  - Q1 10: $0.00
  - Q2 10: $0.30
  - Q3 10: $0.60
  - Q4 10: $0.90
  - Q1 11: $1.20
  - Q2 11: $1.50
  - Q3 11: $1.80

### EBITDA (1)
- **US$ per share**
  - Q1 10: $0.00
  - Q2 10: $0.30
  - Q3 10: $0.60
  - Q4 10: $0.90
  - Q1 11: $1.20
  - Q2 11: $1.50
  - Q3 11: $1.80

---

**ROE versus Peers**

- **2011E Return on Shareholders’ Equity (1)**
  - Percent
  - Senior Peer Average (2): 13
  - Barrick (2): 25

**Note:**
- Barrick is capturing the benefit of margin expansion and strong operating performance.

---

(1) See final slide #1
(2) Barrick’s return on shareholders’ equity is based on annualized Q3 2011 adjusted earnings. The senior peer average, which includes Newmont, Goldcorp, Kinross, AngloGold and Newcrest, is based on Q3 2011 annualized adjusted earnings for these companies except for Newcrest, which is based on fiscal H2 2011 annualized adjusted earnings.
Dividend Growth

Semi-Annual Dividends (presented as a quarterly equivalent)\(^{(1)}\)

Quarterly Dividends

US¢ per share

+25%

+170%

OR 22% CAGR

2006 quarterly equivalent

Reserves and Resources\(^{(1)}\)

Gold Moz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Inferred</th>
<th>M&amp;I</th>
<th>P&amp;P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>139.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>114%</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>932.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>232.9</td>
<td>1,066.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>154%</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Silver Contained in Gold Reserves and Resources Moz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>P&amp;P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>06*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copper Lbs billions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>M&amp;I</th>
<th>P&amp;P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) See final slide #3

* 2010 includes Equinox reserves and resources as stated in its 2010 Annual Information Form.
History of Gold Reserve Growth

Through Acquisition and Exploration
Proven and Probable – millions of ounces of gold

- 140

~140

(1) See final slide #3

1990

- 109 TOTAL MINED

- 110 TOTAL ACQ’D

2010

- 21 Moz

Divestitures

20

109

110

140

TOTAL EXP’N

History of Reserve/Resource Growth

Reserve/resource ounces added post acquisition or discovery
ounces millions

ACQUIRED

ADDED

GRASSROOTS

Goldstrike

Cortez

Pascua-Lama

Donlin Gold

Pueblo Velo

Turquoise Ridge

Valderramo

Bukomnhulu

Reko Diq

Lagunas Norte

Pierina

Bald Mtn

Ruby Hill

South Arturo

Red Hill

Goldrush
2011 Exploration Program(1)

- 2011 exploration budget increased to $370-$390 M; ~40% to be capitalized

2010 vs 2011:
- $210M to $370-$390 M
- ~40% to be capitalized

North America: ~80%
South America: 38%
African Barrick: 38%
Australia Pacific: 13%

Red Hill / Goldrush

Pipeline
Cortez Hills
Horse Canyon

Oblique aerial photo looking to the northwest
Lumwana Exploration

- Drilling activity has been ramped up with 14 drill rigs active and additional rigs are being mobilized.

- Areas of focus include:
  - resource definition drilling at Chimiwungo to convert inferred to indicated resources
  - extensional drilling to expand the mineralization

- Drilling results from initial drill holes at Chimiwungo East are in line with expectations

- A drill program commenced in Q4 to test the potential for shallow mineralization in this area
Pueblo Viejo IN CONSTRUCTION

- First production anticipated in mid-2012
  - overall construction 80% complete
- 625-675 K oz of expected average annual production to Barrick at total cash costs of $275-$300/oz\(^{(1)}\)
- Mine construction capital of $3.6-$3.8 B\(^{(2)}\) (100%) or $2.2-$2.3 B (Barrick’s 60% share)
  - 80% of capital committed

Pascua-Lama IN CONSTRUCTION

- Initial production expected in mid-2013
- Expected gold production of 800-850 K oz/year at negative cash costs of $225-$275/oz\(^{(1)}\) at $25/oz silver
- Expected silver production of \(~35\) M oz/year\(^{(2)}\)
- Pre-production capital of $4.7-$5.0 B\(^{(2)}\)
  - \(~50\)% of capital committed

\(^{(1)}\) See final slide #1 and #2  \(^{(2)}\) See final slide #2
Project Cash Flow Potential

Pueblo Viejo EBITDA\(^{(1)}\)
US$B – Barrick’s share

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Feb 2008} & \quad \text{At $926}^{(2)} & \quad \text{At $1,600}^{(3)} \\
\text{~0.4} & \quad \text{125%} \\
\text{May 2009} & \quad \text{At $930}^{(5)} & \quad \text{At $1,600}^{(3,4)} \\
\text{~0.7} & \quad \text{143%} \\
\end{align*} \]

Pascua-Lama EBITDA\(^{(1)}\)
US$B

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{~1.7} \\
\text{~1.7} \\
\end{align*} \]

Low Cash Cost Projects

Global Gold Industry Cash Cost Curve\(^{(1)}\)

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Senior Peer 2011E} & \quad \text{Weighted Average Cash Costs}^{(2)} \quad \text{~$655} \\
\text{Barrick 2011E Total Cash Costs} & \quad \text{$460-$475}^{(3)} \\
\text{Pueblo Viejo} & \quad \text{$275-$300}^{(3,4)} \\
\text{Cerro Casale} & \quad \text{$125-$175}^{(3,4)} \\
\text{Pascua-Lama} & \quad \text{-$225 to -$275}^{(3,4)} \\
\end{align*} \]

(1) Source: GFMS (Q2 2011 data); co-product basis (2) See final slide #11 (3) See final slide #1 (4) Based on a silver price of $30 per ounce (5) See final slide #8
Projects – Next Generation

- Barrick’s deep project pipeline provides significant future option value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTRUCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jabal Sayid (Cu) - Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEASIBILITY/PERMITTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerro Casale (Au/Cu) - Chile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donlin Gold (Au) - Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabanga (Ni) - Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reko Diq (Au/Cu) - Pakistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRE-FEASIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumwana Expansion (Cu) - Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turquoise Ridge (Au) - Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaldivar Sulphides (Cu) - Chile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCOPING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lagunas Norte Sulphides (Au) - Peru</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excellent Growth Potential

- Gold/silver target revenue growth of ~65%\(^{(1)}\)
- Copper target revenue growth of ~200%\(^{(1)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gold Production (Moz)</th>
<th>Silver Production (Moz)</th>
<th>Copper Production (Mlbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~9.0 Pascua-Lama</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~1,000 Zaldivar Sulphides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~7.8 Net Depletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lumwana Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 2010 Target within 5 years(^{(2)})</td>
<td>6 2010 Target within 5 years(^{(2)})</td>
<td>318 Zaldivar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lumwana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zaldivar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) 2010 gold and copper revenue based on reported ounces/pounds sold and realized prices, and excludes discontinued Osborne operation. Target revenue based on target production and assumed realized prices of $1,600/oz gold, $30/oz silver and $3.25/lb copper. Byproduct silver revenue is credited to cost of sales.

\(^{(2)}\) See final slide #9

\(^{(3)}\) Excluding Osborne production
Industry Profitability vs Share Price

- Gold equity returns have not reflected increased profitability from expanding margins

Equity/Commodity Disconnect

- Consensus view has consistently underestimated actual prices
- Equities should respond as price forecasts recalibrate
Bullish on Gold

- Gold retains purchasing power while other currencies are being devalued:
  - sovereign debt concerns
  - low real interest rates
  - Central Bank intervention in currency markets
  - excessive global FX reserves
- Growth in emerging market demand
- Central banks become net buyers
- Mine supply expected to contract

Net Official Sector Buying

- Purchases in the first nine months of 2011 are more than four times 2010 full year purchases

Sources: GFMS, WGC
Are Current Prices Sustainable?

- Global demand for resources will continue to intensify
- Copper demand also to be supported by the urbanization of emerging markets and constrained supply

Developed vs Developing Economies GDP (% per annum)

- Strong long term metal price fundamentals
- Major beneficiary of rising metal prices with the industry’s largest gold production and competitive operating costs
- Reflected in expanding margins, record earnings, and high returns on equity
- Growing production base with the development and acquisition of high quality deposits
- Two world-class projects nearing production and expected to generate combined annual EBITDA\(^{(1)}\) of ~$2.6 B

*(1) See final slide #1*
Investment Case for Barrick

- Deep pipeline of projects offering investment options for the future
- Continually improve CSR practices to maintain license to operate
- Exploration commitment and strategy yielding major results with new discoveries at Red Hill/Goldrush
- Growing cash flow and positive outlook supports ability to return capital back to shareholders – quarterly dividend increased by 25%

Footnotes

1. Adjusted net earnings, adjusted operating cash flow, return on equity, EBITDA, EBITDA per share, net cash costs per ounce, net cash margin per ounce, total cash costs per ounce, total cash margin per ounce, total cash costs per pound and average realized price per ounce/pound are non-GAAP financial measures. See pages 55-62 of Barrick’s Third Quarter 2011 Report. Return on equity for 2007-2010 is derived from IFRS figures. 2011 return on equity is derived from annualized IFRS figures.

2. All references to total cash costs and production are based on expected first full 5 year average, except where noted. Expected total cash costs and capital cost estimates for Pueblo Viejo, Pascua-Lama and Cerro Casale are based on $1,300/oz gold and $90/bbl oil. Pascua-Lama total cash costs and capital cost estimates are calculated based on a silver price of $25/oz and a Chilean peso fx rate of 475.1. Cerro Casale expected total cash costs and capital cost estimates assume a copper price of $3.25/lb and a Chilean peso fx rate of 476.1. All capital cost estimates exclude capitalized interest.

3. Barrick’s mineral reserves (“reserves”) and mineral resources (“resources”) have been calculated as of December 31, 2010 in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 as required by Canadian securities regulatory authorities. For United States reporting purposes, Industry Guide 7, (under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934), as interpreted by Staff of the SEC, applies different standards in order to classify mineralization as a reserve. Accordingly, for U.S. reporting purposes, Cerro Casale is classified as mineralized material. For a breakdown of reserves and resources by category and additional information relating to reserves and resources, see pages 24 to 34 of Barrick’s 2010 Form 40-F/Annual Information Form on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities.

4. Based on an open pit cut-off assumption of 0.04 opt and gold price assumption of $975/oz for determination of the open pit shell and assuming an approximate 0.04 opt cut-off grade compared to the current underground cut-off grade of about 0.25 opt. The attributes are based on the most favorable case examined in the scoping study. There are significant elements of the case which need extensive further study and will begin to be considered in the prefeasibility stage currently in progress (e.g. all metallurgical test work, geotechnical evaluation, design of waste rock facilities). Significant optimization work will be required in prefeasibility stage to determine the most economical combination of open pit, underground mining and processing. Feasibility, permitting and construction are estimated to take approximately 8 years. Key permits and approvals needed include: Environmental Impact Statement, Plan of Operations Approval, Clean Water Act Section 404 Permitting, Mercury Control Permit, and Water Pollution Control Permit. The potential quantity and grade are conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain whether further exploration result in the target being delineated as a mineral resource.

5. Barrick’s exploration programs are designed and conducted under the supervision of Robert Kcernak, Senior Vice President, Global Exploration of Barrick. For information on the geology, exploration activities generally, and drilling and analysis procedures on Barrick’s material properties, see Barrick’s most recent Annual Information Form/Form 40-F on file with Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

6. EBITDA is based on the midpoint of average annual production and average total cash costs in the first full five years of operation assuming a $1,600/oz gold price, a $30/oz silver price and a $90/bbl oil price.

7. Pueblo Viejo’s average annual EBITDA estimate is based on the midpoint of average annual production and average total cash costs in the first full five years of operation (as disclosed in February 2008 at the time of the construction decision) and using the average monthly gold price of $926/oz in February 2008.

8. Pascua-Lama’s average annual EBITDA estimate is based on the midpoint of average annual production and average total cash costs in the first full five years of operation (as disclosed in May 2009 at the time of the construction decision) and using the average monthly gold price of $931/oz and a silver price of $14/oz in May 2009.

9. The target of 9 M oz of gold production and 50 M oz of silver production within 5 years and 1.0 billion pounds of copper production within 6-7 years reflects a current assessment of the expected production and timeline to complete and commission Barrick’s projects currently in construction (Pueblo Viejo, Pascua-Lama and Jabal Sayid) and the Company’s current assessment of existing mine site opportunities, some of which are sensitive to metal price and various capital and input cost assumptions. See note 2 above for additional detail regarding certain underlying assumptions.

10. Dividends for 2006 to April 2010 were paid on a semi-annual basis but are presented as a quarterly equivalent for comparative purposes. Semi-annual dividends were $0.11 per share in 2006, $0.15 per share in 2007 and $0.20 per share for 2008 to April 2010. In July 2010, Barrick moved from semi-annual to quarterly dividends. The declaration and payment of dividends remains at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on the Company’s financial results, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by the Board.

11. Senior Peer weighted average is based on the mid-point of 2011 production guidance and co-product cash cost guidance for Newmont, Goldcorp, Kinross, AngloGold, and Goldfields. Newcrest does not provide company-wide co-product guidance.